

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
				
09/348,494	07/07/1999	KJELL GUSTAFSSON	040070-244	5321
21839 7590	06/13/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			LY, NGHI H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/348,494		GUSTAFSSON ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Nghi H. Ly		2683			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	A <i>pril 2003</i> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-f	inal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· _	on of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 14-16</u> is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-13 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.						
<i></i>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election require	ment.				
9)∐ Т	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.						
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
I.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 18			

Application/Control Number: 09/348,494

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6-13 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art the admitted by applicant in figure 3 of the present specification in view of Kokusai (JP 07015380A).

Regarding to claims 1 and 13, the admitted prior art disclose a mobile station comprises a first antenna, a second antenna, a radio frequency circuit receiving and processing signal from second antenna and a base band processing circuit receiving and combining processed radio frequency signals from the first radio frequency processing circuit and from the second radio frequency processing circuit (see fig.3). The admitted prior art fails to disclose selectively activating and deactivating the second radio frequency processing circuit based on a determination as to whether diversity is appropriate. Kokusai disclose selectively activating and deactivating a second radio frequency processing circuit (see fig.1 see antenna 2 and switching circuit) based on a determination as to whether diversity is appropriate (see Basic-Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the above teaching

Application/Control Number: 09/348,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

ţ,

of Kokusai into the admitted prior art so that power consumption is reduced and quality of communication is improved (as suggested by Kokusai, see Basic-Abstract).

As to claims 6, 8-12, 17-20, the above combination teaches the signal strength received at antenna (see Kokusai fig.1 antenna 2) is monitored in order to determine as to whether diversity is appropriate (see Kokusai, Basic-Abstract), instead of signal quality of a demodulated signal, or likelihood comparison, or bit error rate, or signal to interference ratio, or number of re-transmission required as claimed. However, using signal quality of a demodulated signal, or likelihood comparison, or bit error rate, or signal to interference ratio, or number of re-transmission required is known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination as claimed, in order to improve signal quality of a demodulated signal, or bit error rate, or signal to interference ratio, or number of re-transmission.

As to claim 7, the above combination teaches the claimed limitation (see Kokusai, Basic-Abstract).

As to claim 2, the rejection to claim 1 as set forth above is herein incorporated by reference. In addition, the prior art also teaches the first radio frequency processing circuit also transmits signal from the mobile station (see fig. 3 the arrow from the base band processing 340 to RF processing 330).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-5 and 14-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/348,494

Art Unit: 2683

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 3, 4, 14 and 15, the admitted prior art disclose a mobile station comprises a first antenna, a second antenna, a radio frequency circuit receiving and processing signal from second antenna and a base band processing circuit receiving and combining processed radio frequency signals from the first radio frequency processing circuit and from the second radio frequency processing circuit (see fig.3).

Kokusai (JP 07015380A) disclose the control signal to the second radio frequency processing circuit to selectively active and deactivate the second radio frequency processing circuit base on a determination as to whether diversity is appropriate (see Kokusai, Basic-Abstract).

The combination of the above references fail to teach the control signal generated by the base band processing circuit is controlled by a control signal from a base station with which the mobile station is in communication as specified in the claims.

Regarding claims 5 and 16, the admitted prior art disclose a mobile station comprises a first antenna, a first frequency processing circuit receiving and processing signals from the first antenna, a second antenna, a radio frequency circuit receiving and processing signal from second antenna and a base band processing circuit receiving and combining processed radio frequency signals from the first radio frequency processing circuit and from the second radio frequency processing circuit (see fig.3).

Art Unit: 2683

Kokusai (JP 07015380A) disclose the control signal to the second radio frequency processing circuit to selectively active and deactivate the second radio frequency processing circuit base on a determination as to whether diversity is appropriate (see Kokusai, Basic-Abstract).

The combination of the above references fail to teach the mobile station informs a base station of its deactivation of diversity so that the base station will adjust its output power until a sufficient signal quality is achieved in accordance with the non-diversity mode.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6-13 and 17-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Lindley (US 3,806,937) teaches automatic direction finding system utilizing digital techniques.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (703) 308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Nghi H. Ly

June 10, 2003

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600